

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	2.2.1				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/458,014	12/10/1999	JACQUES DUMAS	BAYER11-C1	8328	
23599	7590 11/04/2003		EXAMI	NER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			CRIARES, THEODORE J		
2200 CLARI	ENDON BLVD.				
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1617	/ 7_	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003	l /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/458,014	DUMAS ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Theodore J. Criares	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she to with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/0	2/022 .				
2a)□	•	s action is non-final.				
3)						
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-34 and 37-53 is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) 🗌	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-34 and 37-54 are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. — Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1617

CLAIMS 1-34 and 37-53 ARE PRESENTED FOR

EXAMINATION

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.117(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examiniation under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.117(e) had been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office Action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 2, 2002 has been entered with the following effect:

The Notice of Allowance mailed September 5, 2002 is withdrawn in view of the Request for Continued Examination filed December 2, 2002.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I Part of claims 1 and 34 drawn to a method of treating rheumatoid arthritis.

Group II Part fo claim 1 and 34 drawn to a method of treating osteoporosis.

Group III Part of claim 1 and 34 drawn to a method of treating osteoarthritis.

Group IV Part of claim 1 and 34 drawn to a method of treating asthma.

Group V Part of claim 1 and 34 drawn to a method of treating septic shock.

Group VI Part of claim 1 and 34 drawn to a method of treating inflammatory bowel disease.

Group VII Part of claim 1 and 34 drawn to a method of treating the result of host-versus-graft reactions.

Art Unit: 1617

Group IX claim 42 drawn to a method of treating of cancerous cell growth by raf kinases.

Group X claims 52 and 53 drawn to compounds.

Inventions of Groups I-IX and Group X are related as process (method) and apparatus (compositions) for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the methods of Groups I-IX can be treated by various compounds well known to the skilled artisan. For example arthritis can be treated with the non-steroid Advil.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicants elect one of the methods of Groups I to IX an additional election is to be made with respect to the active agents to be utilized therein.

With respect to the group claimed applicant is advised that the elected group contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A: wherein BI is phenyl

B: wherein B is pyridinyl.

C: wherein B is pyrimidinyl

D: wherein B is pyrazinyl.

E: wherein B is pyridazinyl.

Art Unit: 1617

F: wherein B is naphthyl...

G: wherein b is quinolinyl and isoquinollinyl.

H: wherein bis imidazolyl.

I: wherein B is thiazolyl and isothiazolyl.

J. wherein B is indolyl.

K. wherein B is pyrrolyl.

L. wherein B is oxazolyl and isooxaxolyl.

M. werein B is benzofuryl.

N.werein B is phthalimidinyl.

N whrein B is furyl.

O werein B is thienyl.

P werein B is pyrazol.

Q werein B is oxaolyl.

R werein B is benzopyrazolyl.

S werein B is benzooxazolyly and benzisoxazolyl.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits of the elected Group, from Group I to IX, to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 42 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 1617

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

No telephone communication was made on this restriction requirement because the restriction is complex. (MPEP 812.01).

If applicant elects Group X, further restriction may be required.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of

Art Unit: 1617

the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Criares whose telephone number is 308-4607. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M. to 5:00P.M. Monday through Thursday.

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Theodore J. Criares Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

TJC 10/28/03